

**VOLUNTARY OBSERVING SHIPS (VOS)
CLIMATE SUBSET PROJECT (VOSCLIM)
PROJECT DOCUMENT
REVISION 2**

*OCA website only:
<http://www.wmo.ch/web/aom/marprog/Publications/publications.htm>*

July 2002

WMO/TD-No. 1122

JCOMM Technical Report No. 5, Revision 2

NOTE

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariats of the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (of UNESCO), and the World Meteorological Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

CONTENTS

1.	Objectives.....	1
2.	Strategy	1
3.	Required accuracy of data sets based on VOS observations.....	2
4.	Selection of ships.....	2
5.	Instrumentation and observations	3
6.	Data collection and verification	4
7.	Data management procedures.....	4
8.	Project management.....	5
9.	Information exchange	6
Attachment 1:	Scientific Requirements and Justification	7
Attachment 2:	Recruitment/Inspection Form and Instructions	17
Attachment 3:	Extra Information with each Observation	30
Attachment 4:	Flow diagram depicting the Data Flow and Data Management within JCOMM (MCSS).....	32
Attachment 5:	Terms of Reference – Real Time Monitoring Centre and DAC.....	33
Attachment 6:	Flow diagram giving an overview of the data transmission processes for the project.....	34
Attachment 7:	List of Focal Points of the Project.....	35

PROJECT DOCUMENT FOR THE VOLUNTARY OBSERVING SHIPS (VOS)
CLIMATE SUBSET PROJECT (VOSCLIM)

1. Objectives

The primary objective of the project is to provide a high-quality subset of marine meteorological data, with extensive associated metadata, to be available in both real time and delayed mode. Eventually, it is expected that the project will transform into a long-term, operational programme. Specifically, the project gives priority to the following parameters: wind direction and speed, sea level pressure, sea surface temperature, air temperature and humidity. Data from the project will be used: to input directly into air-sea flux computations, as part of coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models; to provide ground truth for calibrating satellite observations; and to provide a high-quality reference data set for possible re-calibration of observations from the entire VOS fleet. Requirements, rationale and scientific justification for the project are detailed in **Attachment 1**.

The VSOP-NA demonstrated clearly that the quality of measurements depends significantly on the types of instruments used, their exposures and the observing practices of shipboard personnel. It made a number of substantive recommendations in these areas which, if systematically implemented, would be expected to result in VOS observations of a quality appropriate to global climate studies. For logistic reasons, it is not realistic to expect full implementation to the entire global VOS. However, it is undoubtedly feasible for a limited subset of the VOS, and the primary goal of this project is therefore to effect such a limited implementation.

2. Strategy

VOSCLIM is intended to produce high-quality data and therefore the selection of ships is a very important part of the project. This can best be done by the existing Port Meteorological Officer (PMO) network applying the criteria detailed in section 4 below.

Many modern ships will already be adequately equipped with acceptable instruments. The quality of instruments, although relevant, has, however, less effect upon the quality of data than use and exposure. It is vital that these aspects are catalogued and the catalogue kept up to date.

For data to be collected and processed in as timely a manner as possible, it is necessary to implement new logsheets (or additions to existing logsheets), whether paper or electronic, and additional codes. The new codes are to be used for parameters not currently reported. Logsheets will be collected by PMOs of the recruiting country at the first opportunity.

Strenuous efforts must be made to ensure that the instruments, observing practice and physical exposure conform to high standards as recommended in the VSOP-NA report, and that details are accurately recorded. Intercomparison with reference instruments held by PMOs could identify biases in specific instruments.

The project necessarily involves the PMO network of participating countries. Close liaison with the shipping industry, both companies and ships' masters, is essential for its success. Expertise provided through the Joint WMO/IOC Technical Commission for Oceanography and Marine Meteorology is also important and the project implementation should therefore proceed as a component activity under, and as part of the integrated observing network strategy of JCOMM. Overall scientific guidance for the project will be provided by the Ocean Observations Panel for Climate (OOPC) of GOOS/GCOS/WCRP.

3. Required accuracy of data sets based on VOS observations

The most stringent accuracy requirement is that imposed by the need to calculate heat, moisture and momentum flux values. These are required for validating the fluxes calculated by atmospheric general circulation models and also by coupled ocean-atmosphere models and for driving ocean circulation models. Flux values are also required for climate research within WCRP. A similar level of accuracy is also imposed by the need to validate satellite measurements.

The precise accuracy depends on the spatial and temporal resolution for which data are required. It also depends on the dominant physical processes, for example ocean mixed-layer deepening over a month may be dominated by one short-term event. However, if one considers for example the need to obtain a monthly mean value of the sensible and latent heat fluxes over a 500 km square of ocean to about $\pm 10 \text{ W/m}^2$ (e.g. for climate research), then the mean temperature measurements should be accurate to $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ or better. This applies to the dry and wet bulb air temperatures and to the sea surface temperature. An equivalent requirement for the mean wind speed is about 10% or about 0.5 m/s (1 knot).

It must be emphasized that individual ship observations are not expected to meet such stringent accuracies. In any case there will always be random scatter from one measurement to the next due to smaller-scale variability in the atmosphere and the ocean. Thus it will be necessary to average sets of observations. However, if the random observational errors are minimized, then the areas of ocean for which adequate densities of observations are available will be increased. Systematic errors in the observations, on the other hand, must be identified to the level of precision suggested above, so that any such bias may be removed from the data sets.

4. Selection of ships

It is intended that only a relatively small number of ships (a target of 200) will be used in the project. It is necessary to select those which make frequent and regular crossings of all major ocean basins, in such a way as to provide a more or less global coverage in both space and time. In addition, priority should be given to recruiting existing ASAP and /or SOOP ships to the project, as well as ships sailing in the southern ocean, Antarctic supply vessels and research ships, wherever feasible.

The choice of individual ships is best left to national VOS personnel acting through PMOs, but they must be provided with details of the ships' observing performance in the past. The initial approach should be made to shore managers at the headquarters of likely shipping lines. It will be necessary to prepare a short statement of the aims of the project and long-term benefits to the shipping industry. Ship specifications (electronic drawings, schematics, digital photographs, identification in catalogues) should be obtained by the PMOs, together with the positions of instruments. For this purpose, a recruitment/inspection form and instructions for the project were developed (see **Attachment 2**). PMOs will need to visit individual ships to explain the project and also to assess the likely commitment of observers to the task. Final selection of ships will take note of existing instrumentation and exposure, past performance and the general impression gained by the PMOs. Difficulties will arise where a ship is well-equipped for one parameter but not another, e.g. no anemometer but mounting a hull sensor for sea surface temperature. The value of the ship's contribution must be assessed in terms of the importance of the parameters which are acceptable.

PMOs will be required to explain the logbooks (electronic or paper), additional codes and the method of completion. Later visits will also be necessary to check that instrument exposure has not changed and discuss problems with observers. These observer "contact" visits will be extremely important in maintaining the interest of the observers and the impetus of the project. PMOs must be provided with "progress" material to leave with the observers

after visits, in addition to the briefing pack used on the first visit. At a later stage in project development, consideration should be given to enhancing or upgrading instrumentation as necessary, in line with VSOP-NA recommendations.

5. Instrumentation and observations

Ideally, ships taking part in the project should have the following instrumentation and facilities:

- (a) Accurate and well-exposed thermometers with precision to 0.1°C;
- (b) Sea surface temperature measuring instruments from hull contact sensors;
- (c) Permanently-mounted, well-exposed anemometers to 0.1 m/s precision;
- (d) Precision marine barometers to 0.1hPa precision, preferably connected to a static head;
- (e) Electronic logbook facility, to include true wind computation, QC checks and updated encoding in the revised code forms.

It is highly unlikely that common instrumentation will be achieved, but efforts should nevertheless be made to implement those recommended in the VSOP-NA report. At the same time, the most important characteristic of all instruments remains good exposure and full documentation.

5.1 Instrument and data quality assessment

The full intercomparison of instruments is a difficult and time-consuming task. It is possible to compare typical samples of each type or source of manufacture, but often variations between members of the same type are greater than between different instruments. This problem will be addressed by intercomparison of the observations of the VOS climate subset with large-scale fields or with neighbouring ships at sea. Comparisons of real-time VOS weather observations with the predictions of Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) models are presently carried out routinely by several national weather centres around the world. The monthly mean bias and scatter between individual ship observations and the model fields are used to identify ships that are reporting poorly or have instruments that require recalibration or replacement. This information is fed back to ships with significant scatter or bias in their observations via the PMO network. If the co-located model values for the basic meteorological variables were archived and passed to the data assembly centre this would provide valuable validation information for the ship reports. Analysis of the VOS climate subset could then be performed using a model as a comparison standard as in the VSOP-NA project. Whilst it is envisaged that NWP model data merged with individual ship reports will form the main source of information on bias and scatter for a particular ship or measurement technique, satellite data may now also form a valuable potential source of background field for certain variables. Comparison of ship observations with nearby reports from other ships is another source of validation information.

Regular checks upon the serviceability of instruments by the PMOs are essential. Calibration of temperature sensors is best performed using a water bath and it is possible to calibrate some types of wind speed sensor by mechanically rotating the propeller. Documentation of calibrations and comparisons of instruments made on visits to the ships is necessary.

5.2 Observations

The normal SHIP observation will be retained for the real time report. The data in this will be augmented in the delayed mode report by extra code groups for additional parameters. This extra information is essential to the success of the project.

The following additional information will be needed.

Ship's parameters

-Ship's speed over ground at time of observation	Code 1
-Ship's heading at time of observation	Code 2
-Height of deck cargo above summer maximum load line	Code 3
-Departure of summer maximum load line from actual sea level	Code 4

Wind (for ships with anemometers)

-Uncorrected (i.e. relative) speed and direction	Code 5
	Code 6

These additional requirements will be included in the revised IMMT (IMMT-2) as shown in Attachment 3.

6. Data collection and verification

It is intended that the project should provide timely and complete information. To this end, and to ensure that no reports (or information contained in these reports) from participating ships are lost, data will be submitted in both real time and delayed mode. Real time data submission will be in the form of reports on the GTS in the SHIP code. Reports from participating ships will be inserted onto the GTS in the normal manner. It is the responsibility of the Data Assembly Centre (see Section 7.2 below) to identify and extract these reports on the basis of a published and continuously updated list of call signs of participating ships.

In addition, all observations will be recorded for delayed mode submission in either paper or electronic logbooks. Paper logbooks will be specially designed for the project, and will include all the additional information required. The recruiting country will digitize these observations in the revised IMMT format (IMMT-2), apply the agreed minimum QC procedures, and forward the digital data sets to the Global Collecting Centres (GCCs) for the WMO Marine Climatological Summaries Scheme (MCSS). The GCCs will apply their normal QC and related procedures, and forward the data to the Data Assembly Centre in IMMT format (on an appropriate medium or via the Internet) with a minimum delay. Electronic logbooks will necessitate some extension to existing procedures (e.g. TurboWIN). When these logbooks are collected by recruiting countries, they should be carefully screened for duplicates before full data sets are compiled and forwarded as detailed above. A flow diagram depicting the data flow and data management within JCOMM (MCSS) is given in **Attachment 4**.

The project will also require real time observational data monitoring, and comparison with model fields. To this end, a Real Time Monitoring Centre has been established by the Met Office (U.K.) (which already undertakes such monitoring of ship observations on a routine basis). Observations from participating ships will be identified by this centre, and associated with co-located model field values. These data sets will also be transferred on a regular basis to the Data Assembly Centre. Terms of reference for this monitoring centre are given in **Attachment 5**.

7. Data Management procedures

7.1 *Instrument exposure and other metadata*

To achieve the accuracies described in section 3, it is vital to have information about the exposure of instruments and the actions necessary to allow for that exposure, as well as

other metadata as detailed in the VSOP-NA recommendations. Details of metadata, including digital imagery, instrument exposure and date of any changes, and ratings of the quality of instrument exposures, will be stored in a master index of ships. This will be developed as a supplement to, but separate from the main ship catalogue (WMO-No. 47). The catalogue will be continuously updated and made available through the Data Assembly Centre, as well as distributed in hard copy form (loose-leaf) to all PMOs through the national contact points. This catalogue will contain details of the instrument locations for each ship in an agreed format. The catalogue will also contain details of the results of regular ship inspections by PMOs.

7.2 *Data assembly*

The data collected during the project will be collected, quality controlled and archived by the project Data Assembly Centre. The Data Assembly Centre will create and maintain a relational database so that the information on instrument types, exposure and observing practice can be automatically associated with each observation. The database should be freely accessible to registered users. Terms of reference for the Data Assembly Centre are given in **Attachment 5**. The National Climatic Data Center, NOAA, USA, will undertake this task. A flow diagram giving an overview of the data transmission processes for the project is given in **Attachment 6**.

8. Project management

The nature and scope of the project necessitate the involvement of a number of national Meteorological Services which have recruited substantial numbers of VOS operating worldwide. In particular the active participation of all countries operating substantial VOS fleets is highly desirable to the success of the project. Overall management of the project will be undertaken by a Management Group, comprising representatives of all participating countries and the OOPC. The Management Group will also require the involvement/support of the WMO Secretariat in discharging its functions. This group will have responsibility for project supervision, development of specific activities and sub-projects, allocation of responsibilities for action, review of progress, preparation of periodic reports including recommendations, and deciding on any other actions as may be necessary for the successful continuation of the project. The chairman of the Management Group will also be Project Leader, with responsibilities to act as a focal point for the project and on behalf of the Management Group, as necessary, as well as to undertake other tasks as indicated in this Project Document.

Members of the Management Group will also act as national focal points for the project, with responsibility for implementation of the project at the national level, for reporting to the Project Leader as appropriate, for agreeing to project modifications, as necessary, and for undertaking other tasks as given in this Project Document, in particular the sub-projects allocated to specific national Meteorological Services. From the national focal points, responsibilities for action will devolve to PMOs and others within national Meteorological Services and ultimately to the ships' masters. The list of project focal points is given in **Attachment 7**.

Following the initial implementation co-ordination meeting, most of the project organization and implementation may be achieved by correspondence. However, some further meetings will be necessary, to assess progress at appropriate intermediate stages and, if necessary, to refine various aspects of the project. Decisions will also eventually be required on transforming the project into an ongoing programme.

9. Information exchange

Extensive and frequent information exchange is an essential aspect of the project. This exchange must take place among participants (including the focal points, PMOs and ships' crews) and with users. A primary means for such exchange will be a special project web site, implemented and maintained by the DAC, with contributions to be made by both participants and users (see terms of reference for the DAC in **Attachment 4**). Information to be made available through the web site will include:

- metadata catalogue of participating ships
- regular project update reports
- monitoring and data application results
- data catalogues
- a project newsletter for participating ships
- links to other relevant web sites
- project focal points and other relevant contact details
- the project document and other publications
- any other information relevant to the project

The project newsletter for participating ships is an important means of regularly informing ships' crews of the status of the project in general and of their own specific contributions, as well as of the applications of project data. It should thereby help to maintain interest and enthusiasm among these crews. Contributions to the newsletter should come from all participating ship operators, the DAC and monitoring centre, users and ships' crews themselves whenever possible. These contributions should be sent to the project leader and Secretariat so as to allow preparation of a newsletter at least every six months (January and July). The newsletter will be transmitted by the Secretariat in electronic form to the DAC, where it will be made available on the web site in a suitable format to allow downloading by participating operators for printing and distribution to ships.

oOo

SCIENTIFIC REQUIREMENTS, JUSTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS¹

1. The evolving requirements for Voluntary Observing Ship data

1.1 Introduction

For well over 100 years, the weather observations from merchant ships have been used to define our knowledge of the marine climate. This function continues within the Voluntary Observing Ships (VOS) programme as the Marine Climatological Summaries Scheme. However the main emphasis of the VOS programme has traditionally been the provision of data required for atmospheric weather forecasting. Today, the initialisation of numerical weather prediction models remains an important use of the weather reports from VOS. However recent trends, such as the increasing availability of data from satellite sensors, and the increased concern with regard to climate analysis and prediction, are making further requirements for data from the VOS.

That there is a growing need for higher quality data from a sub-set of the VOS has been identified by, *inter alia*, the Ocean Observing System Development Panel (OOSDP, 1995), the Ocean Observing Systems Panel for Climate (OOPC, 1998), and the JSC/SCOR Working Group on Air Sea Fluxes (WGASF, 2000). The justification for improved surface meteorological data was also discussed in detail at the Conference on the Ocean Observing System for Climate (Taylor et al. 2001). Here we shall give examples of the requirements, the present state of the art and the potential for improvement, and then discuss the aims and method for the initial scientific analysis of the VOSclim data.

1.2 Examples of evolving requirements for VOS data

A. SATELLITE DATA VERIFICATION

Satellite borne sensors are now used routinely in, for example, determining sea surface temperature (SST), sea waves, and surface wind velocity. Compared to *in situ* measurements, these remotely sensed data provide better spatial coverage of the global oceans. However the data are derived from empirical algorithms and a very limited number of individual sensors. In this respect, an important role for VOS data is the detection of biases in the remote sensed data due to instrument calibration changes or changing atmospheric transmission conditions. For example, the SST analyses produced by the US National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) are used at a number of operational weather forecasting centres including the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting

¹ prepared by P.K. Taylor and E.C. Kent, Southampton Oceanography Centre, UK (July, 2002 version).

(ECMWF). The NCEP analyses (Reynolds and Smith, 1994, Reynolds et al. 2001) use SST data from satellite sensors that have been initially calibrated against drifting buoy data. VOS and buoy data are used to detect and correct biases in the satellite data caused, for example, by varying atmospheric aerosol loading due to volcanic eruptions. Without these real time bias corrections, errors of several tenths K or more can occur in satellite derived SST values (Reynolds and Smith, 1994). For satellite verification purposes the need is for a dataset of accurate data with known error characteristics.

B. CLIMATE CHANGE STUDIES

The VOS data are being increasingly used for climate change studies. Assembled into large data bases (such as the Comprehensive Ocean Atmosphere Data Set, COADS, Woodruff et al., 1993) the observations have been used, for example, to quantify global changes of sea and marine air temperature (Folland and Parker, 1995). Based on such studies, the recommendations of the International Panel on Climate Change (e.g. IPCC, 2001) have led to politically important international resolutions such as the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. However the detection of climate trends in the VOS data has only been possible following the careful correction, as far as is possible, of varying observational bias due to the changing methods of observation. For example sea temperature data have different bias errors depending on whether they were obtained using wooden buckets from sailing ships, canvas buckets from small steam ships, or engine room intake thermometers on large container ships. For the present, and for the future, it is important that we better document the observing practices that are used.

C. CLIMATE RESEARCH AND CLIMATE PREDICTION

Coupled numerical models of the atmosphere and ocean are increasingly being used for climate research and climate change prediction. Because the time and space scales for circulation features in the atmosphere and the ocean are very different, the ocean surface is an important interface for model verification. The simulated air-sea fluxes of heat, water and momentum must be shown to be realistic if there is to be confidence in the model predictions. At present the uncertainty in our knowledge of these surface fluxes is of a similar order to the spread in the model predictions (WGASF, 2000). Partly this is due to the limitations of the parameterisation formulae used to calculate the fluxes. Verification of the model predictions of near surface meteorological variables (air temperature, humidity, SST etc.) against high quality *in situ* observations from moored "flux" buoys and specially selected VOS is required (e.g. Send et al. 2001, Taylor et al. 2001).

2. The State-of-the-Art for VOS observations

2.1 What is needed?

These relatively new applications for VOS data imply a need to minimise the errors present in the observations. For example, 10 Wm^{-2} is often quoted as a target accuracy for determining the heat fluxes; it is about 10% of the typical interannual variability of the wintertime turbulent heat fluxes in mid to high latitudes. To achieve such accuracy implies that the basic meteorological fields are known to about $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ for the SST, dry and wet bulb temperatures (or about 0.3 g/kg for specific humidity) and that the winds be estimated to $\pm 10\%$ or better, say about 0.5 m/s. These are stringent requirements which we do not expect to be met by an individual VOS observation. Enough observations must be averaged to reduce the errors to the required level. The more accurate the individual VOS observations, the less averaging will be needed. Nor is averaging alone enough; corrections must also be applied for the systematic errors in the data set.

In terms of the longer term ocean heat balance even an accuracy of 10 Wm^{-2} is not adequate. A flux of 10 Wm^{-2} over one year would, if stored in the top 500m of the ocean, heat that entire layer by about 0.15°C . Temperature changes on a decadal timescale are at most a few tenths of a degree (e.g. Parilla et al., 1984) so the global mean heat budget must balance to better than a few Wm^{-2} . It is unlikely that such accuracy will ever be achieved using VOS data either alone, or combined with other data sources. Thus the calculated flux fields must be adjusted, using "inverse analysis", to satisfy various integral constraints. Inverse analysis techniques rely on detailed knowledge of the error characteristics of the data; information which is poorly known at present for the VOS data set. Thus there is an urgent need to better define the accuracy of VOS data.

2.2 What is presently achieved?

To attempt to quantify the random error in VOS observations, Kent et al. (1999) determined the root-mean-square (rms) error for VOS reports of the basic meteorological variables. Table 1 shows the minimum, maximum, and mean error values for individual ship observations calculated for $30^\circ \times 30^\circ$ areas of the global ocean. It is obvious that individual ship observations cannot achieve the desired accuracy and that the average of many observations is needed. For example, to reduce a typical temperature error of 1.4°C to the desired 0.2°C requires some 50 independent observations; more when natural variability is taken into account. Sufficient observations are obtained for adequate monthly mean values in well sampled regions like the North Atlantic but in data sparse regions acceptable accuracy cannot be achieved.

Table 1 - RMS Error Estimates: The uncertainty quoted in the mean error is derived from the weighted sum of the error variances (from Kent et al. 1999)

Observed Field	RMS Error:		
	Min.	Max.	Mean
Surface Wind Speed (m/s)	1.3	2.8	2.1 ± 0.2
Pressure (mb)	1.2	7.1	2.3 ± 0.2
Air Temperature (°C)	0.8	3.3	1.4 ± 0.1
Sea Surface Temperature (°C)	0.4	2.8	1.5 ± 0.1
Specific Humidity (g/kg)	0.6	1.8	1.1 ± 0.2

The Voluntary Observing Ship Special Observing Programme - North Atlantic, VSOP-NA (Kent et al., 1993a), was an attempt to determine the systematic errors in VOS data. For a subset of 46 VOS, the instrumentation used was documented (Kent & Taylor, 1991), and extra information included with each report. The output from an atmospheric forecast model was used as a common standard for comparison. The results were analysed according to instrument type and exposure, ship size and nationality, and other factors, and relative biases were determined. For example it was found that SST values from engine intake thermometers were biased warm compared to other methods (Kent et al. 1993a), and that daytime air temperatures were too warm due to solar heating (Kent et al. 1993b). It could be shown that the dew point temperature was not biased by the latter error (Kent and Taylor, 1996) but, compared to aspirated psychrometer readings, the dew point was biased high when obtained from fixed thermometer screens.

Some of the VOS in the VSOP-NA project reported anemometer derived, relative wind speed in addition to the calculated true wind speed. Kent et al. (1991) showed that a major cause of error was the calculation of the true wind speed. Only 50% of the reported winds were within 1 m/s of the correct value, 30% of the reports were more than 2.5 m/s incorrect. For wind direction, only 70% were within $\pm 10^\circ$ of the correct direction and 13% were outside $\pm 50^\circ$. These were large, needless errors which significantly degraded the quality of the anemometer winds. A similar conclusion was reached by Gulev (1999). A questionnaire distributed to 300 ships' officers showed that only 27% of them used the correct method to compute true wind. The problem is not confined to VOS observations. A majority of the wind data sets obtained from research ships during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment showed errors in obtaining true wind values (Smith et al., 1999).

2.3 How can the situation be improved?

Consider as an example, wind velocity. The typical rms error for a wind speed observation shown in Table 1 (about 2.1 m/s) was achieved after instrumental observations had been corrected for the height of the anemometer above the sea surface (using data from the International List of Selected, Supplementary and Auxiliary Ships, WMO Report No. 47) and the visual observations corrected using the Lindau, (1995) version of the Beaufort scale. For the observations as reported, the errors were nearly 20% greater - about 2.5 m/s. Alone, this change in mean accuracy decreases the number of observations required to obtain a reliable mean by a factor of one-third. The quality of the anemometer winds can be further improved by using an automated method of true wind calculation such as the TurboWin system developed at KNMI. The effect on the anemometer measurements of the air-flow disturbance around the ships' hull and superstructure can be investigated using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) modelling of the airflow (Yelland et al., 1998). While it would be impracticable to model all the VOS, it is believed that typical values for the resulting error can be estimated given knowledge of the anemometer position and the overall geometry of the ship (Taylor et al. 1999).

Similarly for the other observed variables correction schemes can be devised. For example, air temperature errors due to daytime heating of the ship depend on the solar radiation and the relative wind speed (Kent et al. 1993b). Josey et al., (1999) found that correcting the various known biases changed the monthly mean heat flux in some regions by up to $\pm 15 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, both the magnitude and sign of the bias varying with area and season. For climate studies these represent significant changes.

3. Scientific Analysis of the VOSclim data

The VOSclim pilot project (the VOS Special Observing Project - North Atlantic, VSOP-NA) allowed a assessment of biases in meteorological variables for a limited region, time period and subset of countries. VOSclim aims to build on the experience gained from the VSOP-NA pilot to provide a larger, more wide-ranging dataset covering all ocean basins and available in near-real time. Evaluating and improving the VOS observations depends on detailed knowledge of the methods by which the data are obtained. Each VOS report will be associated not only with extensive metadata (including information about types of instrumentation, calibration history, observing practice, photographs of instrumentation sites and plans of the ship) but also with the output from the UK Met Office Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) Model.

Based on the experience gained from VSOP-NA, the initial scientific analysis of the VOSclim data will be and will have three main foci:

A. DETERMINATION OF BEST OBSERVING PRACTICE, INSTRUMENTATION AND CALIBRATION FOR THE VOS.

The combination of good quality weather reports from merchant vessels with comprehensive metadata collected by Port Meteorological Officers and output from NWP models will allow the determination of best observing practice, instrumentation and calibration. Best practice can then be encouraged throughout the VOSClime ships and, later, extended to the VOS as a whole. This should lead in the longer term to an improvement in quality of the data available in real time for assimilation into NWP models. It will also lead to improved climatological fields.

B. ASSESSMENT OF BIASES IN THE VOS WEATHER REPORTS.

Even using the best observing practice, biases will exist in the meteorological observations due, for example, to the environmental disturbance caused by the ships. It may be possible to derive corrections for these biases based on instrument details from associated metadata. Corrections may depend on the instrumentation used to take the measurements, the exposure and use of the instruments, the size and layout of the ship and the observing methods used. All of these factors should be quantifiable from the VOSClime dataset, along with differences from the numerical prediction. The exclusion or correction of data taken in conditions that are thought to contain large biases will eventually lead to improved use of all VOS data by NWP centres and improved data for climate research.

C. PROVISION OF A GLOBAL VALIDATION DATASET FOR NWP OUTPUT.

VOSClime will form an important data resource for the World Climate Research Programme Surface Flux Analysis Project (SURFA) which aims to provide validation of NWP meteorological and flux fields. VOSClime will also form an element of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS), a permanent global system for observations, modelling and analysis of marine and ocean variables to support operational ocean services worldwide and form the basis for forecasts of climate change.

3.1 The Dataset

The real-time VOSClime dataset should comprise of the normal weather report from a subset of VOS ships (ideally more than 200 ships) along with co-located NWP model parameters (pressure, air temperature, humidity, SST and wind speed and direction). Quality control will be performed at the VOSClime Real Time Monitoring Centre (RTMC, UK Met Office). Initially the limits to be used in the Quality control procedure will be those shown in Table 2. These limits will be refined as the project continues. The RTMC will extract the reports for the VOSClime subset by callsign from the GTS and merge with NWP model output. The enhanced report is then sent to the Data Assembly Centre (DAC, US National

Climatic Data Center, Asheville NC). Table 2 shows the variables which will be included in the enhanced report. The DAC has the responsibility for adding, in delayed mode, extra parameters to the normal ship weather report. These parameters are logged by the participating ship with every observation and will be downloaded periodically. These extra parameters (instantaneous ships speed and heading, maximum height of the deck cargo, the ship loading, and the relative wind speed and direction) are thought to influence the quality of elements of the ship report following the scientific analysis of the VSOP-NA project (Kent et al. 1993a). The DAC will also be responsible for maintaining a database of metadata giving information about instrumentation types and locations, observation and logging practice, and the size and layout of each VOSCLim ship. Examples of the type of metadata that will be collected are shown in Kent and Taylor (1991). Examples of metadata already collected by UK Port Meteorological Officers as part of VOSCLim are digital photographs of the screens housing the air temperature and humidity sensors. These pictures show that the exposure of instruments, even on this good quality subset of ships, can be extremely variable (Figure 1).

Table 2. Variable limits to be used in the quality monitoring procedure at the RTMC

Variable	Bias from Mean	Std Dev	Gross error
Pressure (hPa)	2.5	5.0	15.0
Wind speed (m/s)	5.0	10.0	25.0
Wind direction (deg)	30.0	60.0	150.0
Air Temperature (degC)	3.0	6.0	15.0
Relative humidity (%)	30.0	60.0	95.0
Sea surface temp. (degC)	2.0	4.0	10.0

If 200 ships are recruited and each reports 6-hourly for half the time the dataset will contain about 150 thousand paired ship reports and model output per year.

3.2 The Analysis

The range of scientific questions that can be addressed will depend on the number of ships that participate in VOSCLim and the volume of data collected. Scientific questions that should be addressed using the VOSCLim dataset concern the random and systematic errors present in the VOS data which are assimilated into NWP models and in addition form a vital part of the climate record. Ship - model differences can be related to the environmental conditions at the time of the observation, the measurement method and details of the individual ship making the observation and, of course, on any biases in the model. Typical questions are:

Are engine intake SSTs biased warm due to the heating by the ship and its engines, or cool due to the large depth of measurement? Can we identify some ships using engine intakes as being biased warm and some biased cold, and will this knowledge help to reduce the large random errors contained within the engine intake SST reports?

Do bucket SST measurements suffer from errors when the surface fluxes are large? Could such errors be corrected? Are some bucket designs better than others? Have systematic errors in SST measurements hampered our understanding of the climate over the ocean?

Can we use knowledge of anemometer locations to correct for effects of air-flow distortion over the ship and derive improved wind stress fields over the ocean?

Can we correct air temperature estimates over the ocean for solar radiation contamination and hence produce climatologically useful daytime marine air temperatures?

Does the surface pressure need correcting for the effects of wind speed?

Answering these questions is not easy since the errors in different observed variables are correlated and there are biases that depend on other factors such as recruiting country, or geographical region. Indeed, recent research has shown that the quality of air temperature observations has a strong correlation with the type of SST measurement made by the ship (presumably indicating a strong dependence on recruiting country). Also we need to be sure that any biases present in the model do not affect conclusions about ship data quality. Thus a large dataset is necessary, and careful analysis procedures must be applied. The Meteorological Team at the Southampton Oceanography Centre is experienced in the required analysis techniques and is seeking funding to support the first phase of scientific analysis of the VOSCLIM data set. It is envisaged that, as the data set grows, further phases of analysis will be undertaken within other projects and research centres, examples are the SURFA project, and activities sponsored by the Atmospheric Observations Panel for Climate (AOPC) and the CLIVAR time-series panel.

4. References

- Folland, C. K. and D. E. Parker, 1995: Correction Of Instrumental Biases In Historical Sea-Surface Temperature Data. *Q. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, **121**(522), 319 - 367.
- Gulev, S. K., 1999: Comparison of COADS Release 1a winds with instrumental measurements in the Northwest Atlantic. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **16**(1), 133 - 145.
- IPCC, 2001: Working Group I "Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis", Scientific Summary for Policymakers and Technical Summary, in IPCC Third Assessment Report, 83 pp. (available at <http://www.ipcc.ch/>)
- Josey, S. A., E. C. Kent and P. K. Taylor, 1999: New insights into the Ocean Heat Budget Closure Problem from analysis of the SOC Air-Sea Flux Climatology. *Journal of Climate*, **12**(9), 2856 - 2880.
- Kent, E. C. and P. K. Taylor, 1991: Ships observing marine climate: a catalogue of the Voluntary Observing Ships Participating in the VSOP-NA. *Marine Meteorology and Related Oceanographic Activities 25*, World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, 123 pp.
- Kent, E. C. and P. K. Taylor, 1996: Accuracy of humidity measurements on ships: consideration of solar radiation effects. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **13**(6), 1317 - 1321.

- Kent, E. C., B. S. Truscott, J. S. Hopkins and P. K. Taylor, 1991: The accuracy of ship's meteorological observation - results of the VSOP-NA. *Marine Meteorology and Related Oceanographic Activities 26*, World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, 86 pp.
- Kent, E. C., P. Challenor and P. Taylor, 1999: A Statistical Determination of the random errors present in VOS Meteorological reports. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **16**(7), 905 - 914.
- Kent, E. C., P. K. Taylor, B. S. Truscott and J. A. Hopkins, 1993a: The accuracy of Voluntary Observing Ship's Meteorological Observations. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **10**(4), 591 - 608.
- Kent, E. C., R. J. Tiddy and P. K. Taylor, 1993b: Correction of marine daytime air temperature observations for radiation effects. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **10**(6), 900 - 906.
- Lindau, R., 1995: A new Beaufort equivalent scale. Internat. COADS Winds Workshop, Kiel, Germany, 31 May - 2 June 1994, Environmental Research Labs., National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, 232 - 252.
- OOPC (1998) Report of the Third Session of the Joint GCOS-GOOS-WCRP Ocean Observations Panel for Climate (OOPC), Grasse, France, 6 - 8 April 1998, GOOS Report No. 61, GCOS Report No. 44, IOC/UNESCO Paris, 37pp. + Annexes.
- OOSDP (1995) Scientific Design for the Common Module of the Global Ocean Observing System and the Global Climate Observing System: An Ocean Observing System for Climate, Dept. of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, 265 pp.
- Parilla, G., Lavin, A., Bryden, H., Garcia, M. and Millard, R. (1984) Rising temperature in the subtropical North Atlantic Ocean over the past 35 years, *Nature*, **369**, 48 - 51.
- Reynolds, R. W., D.E. Harrison, and D.C. Stokes (2001) Specific contributions to the observing system: Sea Surface Temperatures. in *Observing the Oceans in the 21st Century* (Koblinsky and Smith, eds.), GODAE Project Office, Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, 87 - 101.
- Reynolds, R. W. and T. M. Smith, 1994: Improved global sea surface temperature analyses using optimum interpolation. *J. Clim.*, **7**, 929 - 948.
- Reynolds, R.W., N. A. Rayner, T. M. Smith, D. C. Stokes, and W. Wang, 2002: An Improved In Situ and Satellite SST Analysis for Climate, *J. Clim.* **15**, 1609 - 1625.
- Send, U., Weller, R. A., Cunningham, S., Eriksen, C., Dickey, T., Kawabe, M., Lukas, R., McCartney, M. and Osterhus, S. (2001) Oceanographic time series observatories, in *Observing the Oceans in the 21st Century* (Koblinsky and Smith, eds.), GODAE Project Office, Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, 376 - 390.
- Smith, S. R., M. A. Bourassa and R. J. Sharp, 1999: Establishing more truth in true winds. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, **16**(7), 932 - 952.
- Taylor, P. K., Bradley, E. F., Fairall, C. W., Legler, L., Schulz, J., Weller, R. A. and White, G. H., 2001: Surface Fluxes and Surface Reference Sites, in *Observing the Oceans in the 21st Century* (Koblinsky and Smith, eds.), GODAE Project Office, Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, 177 - 197.
- Taylor, P. K., Kent, E. C., Yelland, M. J. and Moat, B. I. (1999) The Accuracy Of Marine Surface Winds From Ships And Buoys. *CLIMAR 99, WMO Workshop on Advances in Marine Climatology*, Vancouver, Canada, 8 - 15 Sept. 1999, 59 - 68.
- WGASF 2000: Intercomparison And Validation Of Ocean-Atmosphere Energy Flux Fields, *Final report of the Joint WCRP/SCOR Working Group on Air-Sea Fluxes* (SCOR Working Group 110), WCRP Report 112 (WMO/TD 1036), 306 pp.
- Woodruff, S. D., S. J. Lubker, K. Wolter, S. J. Worley and J. D. Elms, 1993: Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (COADS) release 1a: 1980-92. *Earth System Monitor*, **4**(1), 4 - 8.
- Yelland, M. J., B. I. Moat, P. K. Taylor, R. W. Pascal, J. Hutchings and V. C. Cornell, 1998: Measurements of the open ocean drag coefficient corrected for air flow disturbance by the ship. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **28**(7), 1511 - 1526.

5. Figures



Figure 1. Examples of varying quality of screen exposure on UK recruited VOS.

RECRUITMENT/INSPECTION FORM AND INSTRUCTIONS

Recruitment/inspection form pp. 17-18

Sample form pp. 19-20

Instructions pp. 21-29

separate .pdf files


EXTRA INFORMATION WITH EACH OBSERVATION
LAYOUT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME METEOROLOGICAL TAPE
(IMMT)

[VERSION IMMT-2]

Additional requirements for the VOSCLIM Project

<i>Element Number</i>	<i>Character Number</i>	<i>Code</i>	<i>Element</i>	<i>Coding Procedure</i>
65	111		IMMT version	0 = IMMT version just prior to version number being included 1 = IMMT-1 (previous version) 2 = IMMT-2 (this version) 3 = IMMT-3, (next version) etc.
86	132	Q21	Minimum quality control standards (MQCS) version identification	1 = MQCS-I (Original version) 2 = MQCS-II (Version 2, May 1996) 3 = MQCS-III (Version 3, May 2000) 4 = MQCS-IV (Version 4, June 2001)
87	133-135	HDG	Ship's heading; the direction to which the bow is pointing, referenced to true North.	(000-360); e.g. 360 = North 000 = No Movement 090 = East
88	136-138	COG	Ship's ground course; the direction the vessel actually moves over the fixed earth and referenced to True North	(000-360); e.g. 360 = North 000 = No Movement 090 = East
89	139 – 140	SOG	Ship's ground speed; the speed the vessel actually moves over the fixed earth.	(00-99); Round to nearest whole knot
90	141 – 142	SLL	Maximum height in meters of deck cargo above Summer maximum load line.	(00-99); report to nearest whole meter
91	143 – 145	S _L hh	Departure of reference level (Summer maximum load line) from actual	Position 143 (S _L) sign position; 0 = positive or zero, 1 = negative positions 144-145 (hh); (00-99) is the

			sea level. Consider the difference positive when the Summer maximum load line is above the level of the sea and negative if below the water line.	difference to the nearest whole meter between the Summer maximum load line and the sea level.
92	146 – 148	RWD	Relative wind direction in degrees off the bow	Relative wind direction; e.g. 000 = no apparent relative wind speed (calm conditions on deck). Reported direction for relative wind = 001-360 degrees in a clockwise direction off the bow of the ship. When directly on the bow, RWD = 360.
93	149 – 151	RWS	Relative wind speed reported in units indicated by i_W (knots or m/s)	Reported in either whole knots or whole meters per second (e.g. 010 knots or 005 m/s). Units established by i_W as indicated in Character Number 27.



A flow diagram depicting the data from and data management within JCOMM (MCSS)

REAL TIME MONITORING CENTRE

Terms of Reference

1. Extract GTS reports of project ships (by call sign) and decode.
2. Associate project observed variables (pressure, air temperature, humidity, SST, wind speed and direction) for each project ship with co-located model field values (4 times daily).
3. Compile data sets of observations and associated model field values and transfer to the Data Assembly Centre.
4. Provide ship monitoring statistics for all VOSCLIM ships to the Data Assembly Centre (monthly).


oOo

DATA ASSEMBLY CENTRE

Terms of Reference

1. Extract GTS reports of project ships (by call sign) and decode (including additional project data).
2. Receive the real time reports from the Real Time Monitoring Centre
3. Collect delayed mode reports of project ships from participants.
4. Merge real time and delayed mode reports, eliminate duplicates and compile a complete project data set.
5. Collect metadata and survey reports for project ships and compile a complete data set.
6. Make project data sets available to users on request.
7. Maintain a project web site, information exchange mechanism and electronic newsletter.

oOo



A flow diagram giving an overview if the data transmission processes for the project

LIST OF FOCAL POINTS OF THE PROJECT

PROJECT LEADER

Ms Sarah North
The Met Office
Observations Supply - Marine
Networks
Beaufort Park
Easthampton
WOKINGHAM, Berkshire RG40 3DN
United Kingdom
Telephone: +44-1344 85 5617
Telefax: +44-1344 85 5873
E-mail: sarah.north@metoffice.com

Telefax: +1-905 312 0730
E-mail: Ron.Fordyce@ec.gc.ca

CHINA

Mr Song Lianchun
China Meteorological Administration
46 Baishiqiao Road
BEIJING 100081
China
Telephone: +86-10 6840 6325
Telefax: +86-10 6217 4797
Telex: 22094 FDSMA CN
E-mail: songlc@sky.nmc.gov.cn

NATIONAL FOCAL POINTS

ARGENTINA

Licenciada Ana Teresa Gomez
Servicio Meteorologico Nacional
25 de Mayo 658
1002 BUENOS AIRES
Argentina
Telephone: +54-11 4514 4221
Telefax: +54-11 4514 4225
E-mail: cim@meteofa.mil.ar

FRANCE

(Until 31 August 2002)
Gérard Rey
Météo-France - DSO/QMR/PMO
42, avenue G. Coriolis
31057 Toulouse Cedex
France
Telephone: +33 5 61 07 98 55
Telefax: +33 5 61 07 98 69
E-mail: gerard.rey@meteo.fr

AUSTRALIA

Mr David K. Evans
Manager, Observations Operations
Bureau of Meteorology
150 Lonsdale Street
MELBOURNE, Vic. 3000
Australia
Telephone: +61-3 9669 4205
Telefax: +61-3 9669 4168
E-mail: d.evans@bom.gov.au

(From 1 September 2002)
André Péries
Météo-France - DSO/QMR/PMO
42, avenue G. Coriolis
31057 Toulouse Cedex
France
Telephone: +33 5 61 07 98 54
TeleFax: +33 5 61 07 98 69
E-mail : andre.peries@meteo.fr

CANADA

Mr Ron Fordyce
Supt. Marine Data Unit
Meteorological Service of Canada
Ontario Region
100 East Port Blvd
HAMILTON, Ontario L8H 7S4
Canada
Telephone: +1-905 312 0900

GERMANY

Dr Volker Wagner
Chairman, EGOS
Deutscher Wetterdienst
Klima und Umwelt, FE 26
P.O. 700421
D-22004 HAMBURG
Germany
Telephone: +49-40 6690 1430
Telefax: +49-40 6690 1499
E-mail: volker.wagner@dwd.de

INDIA

Mr S.K. Prasad
Director
Marine Division
c/o Deputy Director General of
Meteorology (WF)
India Meteorological Department
Shivajinagar
PUNE 411 005
India
Telephone: +91-20 5535886
Telefax: +91-20 5535886 / 5533201
E-mail: imdpune@pn3.vsnl.net.in

JAPAN

Mr Masaki Kaneda
Scientific Officer
Marine Division
Climate and Marine Division
Japan Meteorological Agency
1-3-4, Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku
TOKYO 100-8122
Japan
Telephone: +81-3 3211 4966
Telefax: +81-3 3211 2032
E-mail: kaneda@met.kishou.go.jp

PAKISTAN

Mr Muhammad Muslehuddin
Director, Computerised Data
Processing Centre (CDPC)
Pakistan Meteorological Department
(PMD)
Meteorological Complex, University
Road
P.O. Box No. 8454
KARACHI-75270
Pakistan
Telephone: +92-21 8114053
Telefax: +92-21 8112885, 8112887
E-mail: pmdcomp@paknet3.ptc.pk

POLAND

Dr Miroslaw Mietus
Institute of Meteorology and Water
Management
Maritime Branch
Waszyngton 42
PL-81-342 GDYNIA
Poland
Telephone: +48-58 6203532

Telefax: +48-58 6207101
E-mail: mietus@imgw.gdynia.pl

TANZANIA

Mr Sycilla M. Sillayo
Tanzania Meteorological Agency
P.O. Box 3056
DAR ES SALAAM
United Republic of Tanzania
Telephone: +255-51 110227 / 110231
Telefax: +255-51 110231 / 844299
E-mail: mmctz@meteo.tz.org

UNITED KINGDOM

Ms Sarah C North
Nautical Officer
Met Office
Beaufort Park
Easthampstead
Wokingham
Berkshire
RG40 3DN
United Kingdom
Telephone: +44 01344 855 617
Telefax: +44 01344 855 873
Email: sarah.north@metoffice.co

USA

Mr David McShane
VOS Technical Leader
National Weather Service/NOAA
National Data Buoy Center
Building 1100, RM 353A
STENNIS SPACE FLIGHT CENTER,
MS 39529-6000
USA
Telephone: +1-228 688 1768
Telefax: +1-228 688 3153
E-mail: David.McShane@noaa.gov

REAL TIME MONITORING CENTRE

Mr Colin Parrett
Met Office
London Road
Bracknell
Berkshire RG12 2SZ
United Kingdom
Telephone: +44 01344 856 996
E-mail: colin.parrett@metoffice.com

DATA ASSEMBLY CENTRE

Mr Daniel J. Manns
National Climatic Data Center
151 Patton Avenue
ASHEVILLE, NC 28801-5001
USA
Telephone: +1-828 271 4458
Telefax: +1-828 271 4022
E-mail: Daniel.J.Manns@noaa.gov

SCIENTIFIC ADVISERS

Dr Peter K. Taylor
Ocean Observations Panel for Climate
James Rennell Division (254/27)
Southampton Oceanography Centre
European Way
SOUTHAMPTON, SO14 3ZH
United Kingdom
Telephone: +44-23 8059 6408
Telefax: +44-23 8059 6400
E-mail:
peter.k.taylor@soc.soton.ac.uk

Dr Elizabeth C. Kent
James Rennell Division (Room
254/31)
Southampton Oceanography Centre
SOUTHAMPTON SO14 3ZH
United Kingdom
Telephone: +44-2380 596 409
Telefax: +44-2380 596 400
E-mail:
elizabeth.c.kent@soc.soton.ac.uk

WMO SECRETARIAT

Dr P.E. Dexter
World Weather Watch-Applications
Department
World Meteorological Organization
7 bis, Avenue de la Paix
Case postale No 2300
CH-1211 GENEVE 2
Switzerland
Telephone: +41-22 730 82 37
Telefax: +41-22 730 80 21
E-mail: dexter@www.wmo.ch

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

ASAP	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
COADS	Comprehensive Ocean Atmosphere Data Set
DAC	Data Assembly Centre
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting
GCC	Global Collecting Centre (for the MCSS)
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System
GTS	Global Telecommunication System (WMO)
IMMT	International Maritime Meteorological Tape
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (of UNESCO)
JCOMM	Joint WMO/IOC Technical Commission for Oceanography and Marine Meteorology
JSC	Joint Scientific Committee for the WCRP
MCSS	Marine Climatological Summaries Scheme
NCEP	US National Centers for Environmental Prediction
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (USA)
NWP	Numerical Weather Prediction
OOPC	Oceans Observations Panel for Climate (of GOOS, GCOS, WCRP)
OOSDP	Ocean Observing System Development Panel
PMO	Port Meteorological Officer
QC	Quality Control
RMS	Root Mean Square
SCOR	Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research
SHIP	Report of Surface Observation from Sea Station
SOOP	Ship-of-Opportunity Programme
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
UN	United Nations
VOS	Voluntary Observing Ship
VOSCLIM	Voluntary Observing Ships Climate Subset Project
VSOP-NA	VOS Special Observing Project-North Atlantic
WCRP	World Climate Research Programme
WGASF	JSC/SCOR Working Group on Air Sea Fluxes
WMO	World Meteorological Organization

New JCOMM series replaces discontinued MMROA series

**JOINT WMO/IOC TECHNICAL COMMISSION
FOR OCEANOGRAPHY AND MARINE METEOROLOGY
TECHNICAL REPORT SERIES**

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
17	JCOMM Ship Observations Team, First Session - National Reports (Goa, India, 25 February - 2 March 2002) - Website only	WMO/TD-No. 1121	2002
16	Scientific and Technical Workshop of the JCOMM Ship Observations Team - Presentations at the first session of the Ship Observations Team (Goa, India, 26 February 2002) - CD ROM only	WMO/TD-No. 1118	2002
15	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) - Annual Report for 2001	WMO/TD-No. 1112	2002
14	Operational Oceanography - Scientific Lectures at JCOMM-I (Akureyri, Iceland, June 2001)	WMO/TD-No. 1086	2001
13	Advances in the Applications of Marine Climatology - The Dynamic Part of the WMO Guide to the Applications of Marine Climatology	WMO/TD-No. 1081	2001
12	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) – Annual Report for 2000	WMO/TD-No. 1069	2001
11	JCOMM Capacity Building Strategy	WMO/TD-No. 1063	2001
10	Proceedings of CLIMAR 99	WMO/TD-No. 1062	2001
9	Estimation of Extreme Wind Wave Heights	WMO/TD-No. 1041	2000
8	Oceanographic and Marine Meteorological Observations in the Polar Regions - A Report to the Joint WMO/IOC Technical Commission on Oceanography and Marine Meteorology	WMO/TD-No. 1032	2000
7	Proceedings of a Workshop on Mapping and Archiving of Sea Ice Data – The Expanding Role of Radar, Ottawa, Canada, 2-4 May 2000	WMO/TD-No. 1027	2000
6	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) – Annual Report for 1999	WMO/TD-No. 1011	2000
5	Voluntary Observing Ships (VOS Climate Subset Project (VOSCLIM) – Project Document)	WMO/TD-No. 1010	2000
	Revision 1	WMO/TD-No. 1042	2001

*Out-of-print

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
	Revision 2	WMO/TD-No. 1122	2002
4	The Voluntary Observing Ships Scheme – A Framework Document	WMO/TD-No. 1009	2000
3*	JCOMM Ship-of-opportunity Programme Implementation Panel, Third Session, La Jolla, CA, USA, 28-31 March 2000 – SOOP Status Reports – SOOP Scientific and Technical Developments	WMO/TD-No. 1005	2000
2	Meeting of Experts on a JCOMM/GOOS Polar Region Strategy, Geneva, Switzerland, 6-8 December 1999 - Status Reports from Existing Polar Region Observing Systems	-	2000
1*	First Transition Planning Meeting - St Petersburg, Russian Federation, 19-23 July 1999 – Status Reports from JCOMM Component Bodies and Activities	-	1999

MARINE METEOROLOGY AND RELATED OCEANOGRAPHIC ACTIVITIES REPORT SERIES

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
44	Marpolser 98 - Metocean Services for Marine Pollution emergency Response Operations, Townsville, Australia, 13-17 July 1998 – Proceedings - Volume 2 - Review and Information Papers	WMO/TD-No. 960	1999
	Marpolser 98 - Metocean Services for Marine Pollution emergency Response Operations, Townsville, Australia, 13-17 July 1998 – Proceedings - Volume 1 - Research Papers	WMO/TD-No. 959	1999
43	Proceedings of the International Workshop on Digitization and Preparation of Historical Marine Data and Metadata, Toledo, Spain, 15-17 September 1997	WMO/TD-No. 957	1999
-	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) - Annual Report for 1998	WMO/TD-No. 951	1999
42	Provision and Engineering Operational Application of Ocean Wave Data - in French only	WMO/TD-No. 938	1998
41	The Climate of the Baltic Sea Basin	WMO/TD-No. 933	1998
40	Automatisation de l'observation en mer Automation of Observations at Sea	WMO/TD-No. 928	1998
-	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) - Annual Report for 1997	WMO/TD-No. 900	1998

*Out-of-print

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
39	Proceedings of the Commission for Marine Meteorology Technical Conference on Marine Pollution	WMO/TD-No. 890	1998
38	Evaluation of the Highest Wave in a Storm (A.V. Boukhanovsky, L.J. Lopatoukhin, V.E. Ryabinin)	WMO/TD-No. 858	1998
37	Tropical Coastal Winds (W.L. Chang)	WMO/TD-No. 840	1997
36	Handbook of Offshore Forecasting Services (Offshore Weather Panel)	WMO/TD-No. 850	1997
-	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) - Annual Report for 1996	WMO/TD-No. 819	1997
35	Ice Navigation Conditions in the Southern Ocean (A.A. Romanov)	WMO/TD-No. 783	1996
-	Automated Shipboard Aerological Programme (ASAP) - Annual Report for 1995	WMO/TD-No. 767	1996
34	Polar Orbiting Satellites and Applications to Marine Meteorology and Oceanography – Report of the CMM-IGOSS-IODE Sub-group on Ocean Satellites and Remote Sensing	WMO/TD-No. 763	1996
33	Storm Surges (Vladimir E. Ryabinin, Oleg I. Zilberstein and W. Seifert)	WMO/TD-No. 779	1996
32*	Proceedings of the WMO/IOC Workshop on Operational Ocean Monitoring Using Surface Based Radars, Geneva, 6-9 March, 1995	WMO/TD-No. 694	1995
-	Proceedings of the WMO/IOC Technical Conference on Space-based Ocean Observations, September 1993, Bergen, Norway (US \$15.00)	WMO/TD-No. 649	1994
31*	Proceedings of the International Workshop on Marine Meteorology	WMO/TD-No. 621	1994
30	Proceedings of the International Seminar for Port Meteorological Officers	WMO/TD-No. 584	1993
29	Meteorological Requirements for Wave Modelling (Luigi Cavaleri)	WMO/TD-No. 583	1993
28*	Proceedings of the Commission for Marine Meteorology Technical Conference on Ocean Remote Sensing	WMO/TD-No. 604	1993
27	A Survey on Multidisciplinary Ocean Modelling and Forecasting (Johannes Guddal)	WMO/TD-No. 516	1992
26*	The Accuracy of Ship's Meteorological Observations - Results of the VSOP-NA		1991
25	Ships Observing Marine Climate - A Catalogue of the Voluntary Observing Ships	WMO/TD-No. 456	1991

*Out-of-print

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
	Participating in the VSOP-NA		
24	Proceedings of the Commission for Marine Meteorology Technical Conference on Ocean Waves	WMO/TD-No. 350	1990
23	Summary Report on National Sea-ice Forecasting Techniques	WMO/TD-No. 329	1989
22	Wind Measurements Reduction to a Standard Level (R.J. Shearman and A.A. Zelenko)	WMO/TD-No. 311	1989
21	Coastal Winds (E.P. Veselov)	WMO/TD-No. 275	1988
20*	La Prévision du Brouillard en Mer (M. Trémant)	WMO/TD-No. 211	1987
	Forecasting of Fog at Sea - in French only		
19	A Global Survey on the Need for and Application of Directional Wave Information (S. Barstow and J. Guddal)	WMO/TD-No. 209	1987
18	Baltic Multilingual List of Sea-ice Terms (Jan Malicki, Alex N. Turchin, Hans H. Valeur)	WMO/TD-No. 160	1987
17	Processing of Marine Data (G.D. Hamilton)	WMO/TD-No. 150	1986
16*	Field Workshop on Intercalibration of Conventional and Remote-sensed Sea Surface Temperature Data (A.E. Strong and E.P. McClain)		1985
15*	Forecast Techniques for Ice Accretion on Different Types of Marine Structures, Including Ships, Platforms and Coastal Facilities	WMO/TD-No. 70	1985
14	Scientific Lectures at CMM-IX	WMO/TD-No. 41	1985
13	User's Guide to the Data and Summaries of the Historical Sea Surface Temperature Data Project	WMO/TD-No. 36	1985
12	WMO Wave Programme: National Reports for 1984 on Wave Measuring Techniques, Numerical Wave Models and Intercomparisons	WMO/TD-No. 35	1985
*	Supplement No. 4 - Reports for 1991 to 1994 on Wave Measuring Techniques, Numerical Wave Models and Intercomparisons	WMO/TD-No. 35	1994
	Supplement No. 3 - Reports for 1989 to 1990 on Wave Measuring Techniques, Numerical Wave Models and Intercomparisons	WMO/TD-No. 35	1990
	Supplement No. 2 - Reports for 1986 to 1988 on Wave Measuring Techniques, Numerical Wave Models and Intercomparisons	WMO/TD-No. 35	1989

*Out-of-print

No.	Title	WMO/TD-No.	Issued
	Supplement No. 1 - Reports for 1985 on Wave Measuring Techniques, Numerical Wave Models and Intercomparisons	WMO/TD-No. 35	1986
11	Drifting Buoys in Support of Marine Meteorological Services (Glenn D. Hamilton)	-	1983
10*	Guide to Data Collection and Services Using Service Argos (revised version)	-	1989
9	Intercalibrations of Directly-measured and Remotely Sensed Marine Observations (Alan E. Strong)	-	1983
8	Summary WMO Technical Conference on Automation of Marine Observations and Data Collection	-	1981
7*	Proceedings of the WMO Technical Conference on the Automation of Marine Observations and Data Collection	-	1981
6	Report on the Results of An Enquiry on Marine Meteorological Services Products	-	1981
5	The Automation of Observational Methods on Board Ship (M. Yasui)	-	1981
4	Intercalibration of Surface-based and Remotely Sensed Data (except Sea Surface Temperature Data) to Be Used in Marine Applications (E.P. McClain)	-	1981
3	Review of Reference Height for and Averaging Time of Surface Wind Measurements at Sea (F.W. Dobson)	-	1981
2	Investigation of Contemporary Methods of Measuring Sea Surface and Surface-layer Temperatures (F.S. Terziev)	-	1981
1*	Precipitation Measurement at Sea (G. Olbrück)	-	1981